HUPD-1403

PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

A construction of the Schrödinger Functional for Möbius Domain Wall Fermions

Yuko Murakami*
Graduate school of Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan
E-mail: m132626@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Ken-Ichi Ishikawa
Graduate school of Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan
E-mail: ishikawa@theo.phys.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

arXiv:1410.8335v1 [hep-lat] 30 Oct 2014

We construct the Schrödinger Functional (SF) setup for the Möbius domain wall fermions (MDWF). The method is an extension of the method proposed by Takeda for the standard domain wall fermion. In order to fulfill the requirement that the lattice Dirac operator with the SF boundary obeys the Lüscher's universality argument: the lattice chiral fermion with the SF boundary condition breaks the chiral symmetry at the temporal boundary, we impose the parity symmetry with respect to the fifth-direction on the MDWF operator. This additional symmetry restricts the choice of the parameter of the MDWF so that the optimal parameter from the Zolotarev optimal approximation cannot be applied. We introduce a modified parameter set having the fifth-dimensional parity symmetry. We investigate the MDWF with the SF boundary by observing eigenvalues of the Hermitian operator and the Ginsparg-Wilson relation violation at the tree-level. We compare the computational cost with that of the standard DWF with the SF scheme.

The 32nd International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory
23-28 June, 2014
Columbia University New York, NY

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

1. Introduction

The lattice chiral symmetry with the Ginsparg Wilson (GW) relation [1] can be realized by the domain wall type or overlap type fermions, and the large scale simulations with these actions has been made to investigate QCD and flavor physics[2]. The renormalization factors for these actions are desirable and the Schrödinger functional (SF) scheme [3] is one of the method and has been successfully used to investigate the running coupling constants, running masses, and various renormalization factors non-perturbatively on the lattice. However the realization of the lattice chiral symmetry with the SF boundary condition is not trivial because the SF temporal boundary condition must break the chiral symmetry at the temporal boundary in the continuum theory (the universality) as pointed by Lüscher [4];

$$\gamma_5 S(x,y) + S(x,y)\gamma_5 = \int_{z_0=0} d^3\mathbf{z} S(x,y)\gamma_5 P_- S(z,y) + \int_{z_0=T} d^3\mathbf{z} S(x,y)\gamma_5 P_+ S(z,y), \tag{1.1}$$

where $S(x,y)$ is the massless Dirac propagator, T is the temporal extent, and $P_\pm = (1 \pm \gamma_4)/2$. The lattice chiral fermions with the SF boundary condition should reproduce this relation in the continuum limit and have been constructed for the overlap fermion [4, 5] and the standard domain wall fermion (SDWF) [6, 7]. The overlap fermion with the SF scheme has been applied to the Gross-Neveu model [8]. The SDWF can be generalized by introducing the parameters which have the dependence on the index of the fifth-dimension to improve the chirality at a finite extent in the fifth-direction [9, 10]. The SF construction of these generalized domain wall fermions are not known. In this paper, we apply the SF boundary condition to the Möbius domain wall fermion [10] (MDWF) aiming for constructing the SF scheme with the lattice chiral symmetry more effectively.

In the next section, we briefly introduce the SF construction for the SDWF and the boundary operator, which is designed to satisfy Eq. (1.1), introduced by Takeda [7]. Then we apply them to the MDWF operator to break properly the chiral symmetry at the SF boundary. In this extension we need the fifth-direction parity for the MDWF. In section 3, we introduce MDWF parameters into this operator to have the fifth-direction parity symmetry. In section 4, we check the universality of the MDWF operator with the SF boundary by investigating the spectrum and the chiral symmetry towards the continuum limit at the tree-level and we summarize this paper in the last section.

2. A construction of the MDWF with the SF boundary condition

The SDWF operator with the SF boundary term [7] ,$D^{\rm SF}_{\rm DWF}$, is

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\rm SF}_{\rm DWF}(n,s_5;m,t_5) &= (D_{\rm DWF} + B_{\rm SF})(n,s_5;m,t_5) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} D_{\rm WF}+1 & -P_L & 0 & 0 & 0 & m_f P_R + c_{\rm SF} B \\ -P_R & D_{\rm WF}+1 & -P_L & 0 & c_{\rm SF} B & 0 \\ 0 & -P_R & D_{\rm WF}+1 & -P_L + c_{\rm SF} B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -P_R - c_{\rm SF} B & D_{\rm WF}+1 & -P_L & 0 \\ 0 & -c_{\rm SF} B & 0 & -P_R & D_{\rm WF}+1 & -P_L \\ m_f P_L - c_{\rm SF} B & 0 & 0 & 0 & -P_R & D_{\rm WF}+1 \end{pmatrix} (n;m), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $D_{\rm DWF}$ is the SDWF operator, $D_{\rm WF}$ is the four dimensional Wilson-Dirac fermion operator with a negative mass, $P_{R/L} = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2$, $c_{\rm SF}$ is the boundary coefficient, and m_f is the mass parameter. The temporal hopping connecting the sites with the temporal site index $n_4 = 0$ and T are zero in $D_{\rm WF}$ as usual with the SF boundary condition. The boundary operator $B_{\rm SF}$ is defined by

$$B_{\rm SF}(n,s_5;m,t_5) = c_{\rm SF} f(s_5) B(n;m) \delta_{s_5,N_5-t_5+1}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$B(n,m) = \delta_{\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{m}} \delta_{n_4,m_4} \gamma_5 (\delta_{n_4,1} P_L + \delta_{n_4,T-1} P_R), \tag{2.3}$$

$$f(s_5) = \begin{cases} +1 & (1 \le s_5 \le N_5/2) \\ -1 & (N_5/2+1 \le s_5 \le N_5). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

In the following we restrict our attention to the case N_5 with an even number and use $N_5 = 6$ as an example for this paper. The structure of $B_{\rm SF}$ is almost uniquely fixed by the discrete symmetries (C, P, T, Γ_5-Hermiticity) and the chiral symmetry breaking property at the boundary [4, 7].

The MDWF operator is a generalization of the DWF operator aiming for better chiral property and cost-effectiveness [10]. The MDWF includes the SDWF, Borici's DWF [11] and Chiu's optimal DWF [9] as the special cases.

We introduce the following operator as the MDWF operator with the SF boundary term $B_{\rm SF}$.

$$D^{\rm SF}_{\rm MDWF}(n,s_5;m,t_5) = (D_{\rm MDWF} - D^-_{\rm D} B_{\rm SF})(n,s_5;m,t_5)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} D_1^+ & D_1^- P_L & 0 & 0 & 0 & D_1^-(-m_f P_R - c_{\rm SF} B) \\ D_2^- P_R & D_2^+ & D_2^- P_L & 0 & -c_{\rm SF} D_2^- B & 0 \\ 0 & D_3^- P_R & D_3^+ & D_3^-(P_L - c_{\rm SF} B) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_3^-(P_R + c_{\rm SF} B) & D_3^+ & D_3^- P_L & 0 \\ 0 & c_{\rm SF} D_2^- B & 0 & D_2^- P_R & D_2^+ & D_2^- P_L \\ D_1^-(-m_f P_L + c_{\rm SF} B) & 0 & 0 & 0 & D_1^- P_R & D_1^+ \end{pmatrix} (n;m), \tag{2.5}$$

$$D^-_{\rm D} = {\rm diag}(D_1^-, D_2^-, D_3^-, D_3^-, D_2^-, D_1^-), \tag{2.6}$$

$$D_i^+ = D_{\rm WF} b_i + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad D_i^- = D_{\rm WF} c_i - 1, \quad (i = 1,2,\cdots,N_5/2). \tag{2.7}$$

The tunable parameters b_i and c_i have the parity symmetry so that the MDWF operator satisfies the discrete symmetries C, P, T, Γ_5. Because of this symmetry we cannot apply the optimal choice for the parameters, for example, the optimal choice via the Zolotarev approximation introduced by Chiu [12] does not have this symmetry. This parity symmetry is not the required condition for the usual temporal boundary condition (periodic/anti-periodic), nevertheless it seems to have theoretical benefits to analyze the operator and the action [10, 13, 14, 15, 16] . In order to improve the chiral property of the MDWF operator in accordance with the SF boundary condition, we have to search an optimal choice for the coefficients b_i and c_i under the restriction of the parity symmetry.

3. The quasi optimal Zolotarev approximation

In the previous section, we have introduced the fifth-direction parity symmetry to the MDWF. An optimal choice for the coefficients b_i and c_i with the parity symmetry has been proposed and used to construct the single flavor algorithm for the optimal domain wall fermion [13, 14]. In this section we briefly discuss our choice for the coefficients b_i and c_i, and describe the property.

Without the SF boundary operator, the MDWF operator induces the following truncated overlap operator [17, 18, 19];

$$D_{\rm EOVF}^{(N_5)} \equiv \varepsilon^\dagger P^\dagger \, D_{\rm MDWF}^{-1}\big|_{m_f=1} D_{\rm MDWF} P \varepsilon = \frac{1+m_f}{2} + \frac{1-m_f}{2}\gamma_5 R_{N_5}(\mathscr{H}_W), \tag{3.1}$$

$$P = P_L \delta_{s_5,t_5} + P_R \delta_{s_5,t_5+1} + P_R \delta_{N_5,1}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\varepsilon = (1,0,0,0,0,0)^t$ is the operator projecting out a four dimensional slice and P is the permutation matrix. The matrix function $R_{N_5}(x)$ and the kernel operator $\mathscr{H}_W$ become

$$R_{N_5}(x) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N_5}(1+\omega_j x) - \prod_{j=1}^{N_5}(1-\omega_j x)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N_5}(1+\omega_j x) + \prod_{j=1}^{N_5}(1-\omega_j x)}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_W = \gamma_5 D_{\rm WF}(\alpha D_{\rm WF} + 2)^{-1}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\alpha = b_j - c_j, \quad \omega_j = (b_j + c_j). \tag{3.5}$$

α is the Möbius parameter. This converges to the sign function with appropriate conditions on ω_j and on the spectrum of $\mathscr{H}_W$. The optimal approximation for the sign function has been given in [9, 12] and the coefficient dose not have the parity symmetry.

Under the parity constraint, Eq. (3.3) becomes

$$\tilde{R}_{N_5}(x) \equiv \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{N_5/2}(1+\omega_j x)^2 - \prod_{j=1}^{N_5/2}(1-\omega_j x)^2}{\prod_{j=1}^{N_5/2}(1+\omega_j x)^2 + \prod_{j=1}^{N_5/2}(1-\omega_j x)^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

Our choice for ω_j is simply to employ ω_j obtained for the half order ($N_5/2$) Zolotarev optimal approximation $R_{N_5/2}(x)$ [1]. This choice for ω_j (and b_j and c_j) violates the mini-max optimal approximation to the sign function even if $R_{N_5/2}$ is the optimal Zolotarev approximation. However the approximation error stays at the same order to the optimal one as seen from the following error analysis. Because $\tilde{R}_{N_5}(x)$ can be written in terms of $R_{N_5/2}(x)$ as

$$\tilde{R}_{N_5}(x) = 2R_{N_5/2}(x) / \left[\left(R_{N_5/2}(x)\right)^2 + 1 \right], \tag{3.7}$$

the approximation error is bounded by

$$|{\rm sign}(x) - \tilde{R}_{N_5}(x)| \le \frac{(\Delta_{N_5/2})^2}{2(1-\Delta_{N_5/2}) + (\Delta_{N_5/2})^2} \equiv \tilde{\Delta}_{N_5}, \text{ with } \Delta_{N_5/2} \equiv |{\rm sign}(x) - R_{N_5/2}(x)|. \tag{3.8}$$

Since the empirical error estimate indicates that $\Delta_{N_5} \sim (\Delta_{N_5/2})^2$ [12] for the Zolotarev optimal approximation, we conclude that $\tilde{\Delta}_{N_5} \sim \Delta_{N_5}$ (see Fig. 1). This choice is not optimal under the parity constraint, nevertheless we refer this choice of the coefficient as the quasi optimal approximation.

We employ the quasi optimal coefficients for the MDWF with the SF boundary term. In the following we restrict the kernel operator to the Shamir type kernel in order to compare them with the SDWF;

$$b_j = (\omega_j + 1)/2, \quad c_j = (\omega_j - 1)/2 \quad (j = 1, \cdots, N_5/2), \tag{3.9}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_W = \gamma_5 D_{\rm WF}(D_{\rm WF} + 2)^{-1}. \tag{3.10}$$

ω_j is adjusted optimally to enclose the spectrum of $\mathscr{H}_W$. Although the ordering of ω_j is arbitrary under the parity symmetry constraint, we employ the ordering of $\omega_1 < \omega_2 < \cdots < \omega_{N_5/2}$.

[1] This choice may have been already used in [13, 14] for the single flavor simulation.



Figure 1: The sign function approximation error for the optimal Zolotarev approximation (red) and the quasi optimal approximation (blue). The solid (dot) lines are error in the positive (negative) region of x. $N_5 = 12$, the approximation range is from 6.7497331×10^{-3} to 1.



Figure 2: The lowest ten eigenvalues of the Hermitian operator, $N_5 = 8$ with PDWF (left) and $N_5 = 32$ with SDWF and PDWF (right). Red circles are the continuum values (CL) taken from [21].

4. The universality check

We construct the Shamir optimal type DWF by applying the quasi optimal Zolotarev approximation coefficients, Eqs. (2.5)-(2.7), and call this the Palindromic-optimal DWF (PDWF). We employ the standard boundary condition for the gauge field [20] which induces the classical background field, $m_f = 0$, $M_0 = 1$ (negative mass parameter in $D_{\rm WF}$), $L = T$, and $c_{\rm SF} = 1$ for both the PDWF and the SDWF. In this section we study the property of the PDWF operator at the tree-level whether the operator satisfies the Lüscher's universality argument using the double-precision arithmetic.

We investigate the lowest ten eigenvalues of the squared Hermitian operator $L^2 D_q^\dagger D_q$, where D_q is given by the following relation [7, 19],

$$D_q{}^{-1} \equiv (D_{\rm EOVF}^{(N_5)})^{-1}(1 - D_{\rm EOVF}^{(N_5)}). \tag{4.1}$$

Figure 2 shows the eigenvalues for the PDWF and the SDWF. The red circles at $1/L = 0$ are the eigenvalues in the continuum limit [21]. We find that the eigenvalues for the lattice fermion operator approach to those of the continuum operator appropriately when the lattice extent in the fifth-direction is large enough (right figure: $N_5 = 32$). When N_5 is small (left figure: $N_5 = 8$), however, the eigenvalues are leaving from the continuum values as decreasing $1/L$. The reason is the following; the lowest eigenvalue of the kernel operator approaches to zero as decreasing $1/L$ and this makes the sign function approximation poor with N_5 fixed at constant. The continuum limit is properly realized as expected when the accuracy of the sign function approximation is good enough and the parameters are properly renormalized on a fixed constant physics. The comparison between the SDWF and PDWF at $N_5 = 32$ shows that the lattice spacing error for the PDWF is slightly larger than that of the SDWF.

In order to see the chiral symmetry violation effect of the boundary term B_{SF} we examine the GW relation violation in the temporal direction $\delta_{GW}(n_4, m_4)$;

$$\delta_{GW}(n_4,m_4) = \max_{\text{color}} \left| \gamma_5 D^{(N_5)}_{\text{EOVF}}(\boldsymbol{p},n_4;m_4) + D^{(N_5)}_{\text{EOVF}}(\boldsymbol{p},n_4;m_4)\gamma_5 - 2(D^{(N_5)}_{\text{EOVF}}\gamma_5 D^{(N_5)}_{\text{EOVF}})(\boldsymbol{p},n_4;m_4) \right|, \quad (4.2)$$

with spatial momenta $\boldsymbol{p} = 0$. Figure 3 shows the time dependence of $\delta_{GW}(n_4, m_4)$ with $L = T = 30$ in common logarithmic scale. We observe that the chiral symmetry in the bulk region is restored as increasing N_5, while the chiral symmetry violation remains only at the SF temporal boundaries. Although this does not reflect Eq. (1.1) directly, this is desired behavior for the universality argument. We show that the GW relation violation at the center of the temporal lattice for the SDWF and the PDWF with $L = T = 16$ and $= 30$ in Figure 4. The violation decreases as increasing N_5 and is bounded from below and the error bound becomes smaller as decreasing the lattice spacing. The error bound seems to be the finite lattice spacing error induced by the SF boundary condition. As seen in Figure 4, the SDWF has a smaller error than that of the PDWF at the same N_5 before reaching the error bound. The PDWF is not cost-effective. This is unexpected and we need further investigation.



Figure 3: The time dependence of the GW relation violation of the PDWF operator.



Figure 4: The GW relation violation as a function of N_5.

5. Summary

We have constructed the Möbius domain wall fermion (MDWF) with the SF boundary condition in this paper. In order to introduce the proper boundary condition and the desired property on the operator we imposed the parity symmetry in the fifth-direction on the MDWF operator with the SF boundary term. We have introduced the quasi optimal Zolotarev approximation which satisfies the parity symmetry and constructed the Palindromic-optimal domain wall fermion (PDWF)

operator with the SF boundary term. We investigated the lower eigenvalues and the GW relation violation of the PDWF operator and compared them to those of the standard DWF operator. The continuum limit of the spectrum was properly recovered and the desired chiral symmetry property were observed at the tree-level analysis. However the quasi optimal approximation does not improve the chiral symmetry. One reason of this behavior could be the effect of the $O(a)$-error coming from the boundary term. This error can be removed by tuning $c_{\rm SF}$. We have to investigate $c_{\rm SF}$ and the universality of the beta function at the one-loop level, and these are ongoing.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank S. Takeda for the computational advice and the useful discussion. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 24540276) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

References

[1] P. H. Ginsparg and K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 2649 (1982).

[2] S. Aoki, Y. Aoki, C. Bernard, T. Blum, G. Colangelo, M. Della Morte, S. Dürr and A. X. El Khadra *et al.*, arXiv:1310.8555 [hep-lat].

[3] M.L̈uscher, Nucl. Phys. B **254**, 52 (1985).

[4] M. Luscher, JHEP **0605**, 042 (2006) [hep-lat/0603029].

[5] Y. Taniguchi, JHEP **0512**, 037 (2005) [hep-lat/0412024].

[6] Y. Taniguchi, JHEP **0610**, 027 (2006) [hep-lat/0604002].

[7] S. Takeda, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 11, 114506 (2013) [arXiv:1010.3504 [hep-lat]].

[8] B. Leder, JHEP **0804**, 044 (2008) [arXiv:0711.1072 [hep-lat]].

[9] T. W. Chiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 071601 (2003) [hep-lat/0209153].

[10] R. C. Brower, H. Neff and K. Orginos, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **140**, 686 (2005) [hep-lat/0409118].

[11] A. Borici, hep-lat/9912040.

[12] T. W. Chiu, T. H. Hsieh, C. H. Huang and T. R. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 114502 (2002) [hep-lat/0206007].

[13] Y. C. Chen and T. W. Chiu, Phys. Lett. B **738**, 55 (2014) [arXiv:1403.1683 [hep-lat]].

[14] K. Ogawa *et al.* [TWQCD Collaboration], PoS LAT **2009**, 033 (2009) [arXiv:0911.5532 [hep-lat]].

[15] P. Boyle, in these proceedings.

[16] V. Furman and Y. Shamir, Nucl. Phys. B **439**, 54 (1995) [hep-lat/9405004].

[17] A. Borici, Phys. Lett. B **453**, 46 (1999) [hep-lat/9810064].

[18] A. Borici, hep-lat/0402035.

[19] Y. Kikukawa and T. Noguchi, hep-lat/9902022.

[20] M. Luscher, R. Sommer, P. Weisz and U. Wolff, Nucl. Phys. B **413**, 481 (1994) [hep-lat/9309005].

[21] S. Sint and R. Sommer, Nucl. Phys. B **465**, 71 (1996) [hep-lat/9508012].